UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,769	03/03/2004	Kazunori Yamanaka	040094	3203
23850 7590 02/09/2007 ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			MANCUSO, HUEDUNG XUAN CAO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, = = =====	2821		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	A 12 42 10	A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Comments	10/790,769	YAMANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Huedung Cao Mancuso	2821				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Oc	ctober 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 2-13 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted Prior Art (Specification, page 1-3) in view of Tsai et al. (US 2004/0100410 A1) and further in view of Choudhury (US 4,985,400).

As to claim 10, Prior Art teaches an antenna coupling module comprised of a planar antenna and a substrate forming a planar superconductive high frequency circuit arranged in a perpendicular direction with respect to the element surface of said planar antenna and having said planar antenna (Specification, page 1, line 32-page 2, line 30). It is noted that Prior art does not explicitly disclose that said planar antenna and said superconductive high frequency circuit electromagnetically coupled via a space. However, Tsai teaches such electromagnetically coupling via a space is well known in the art see Tsai's claim 3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by having said planar antenna and said superconductive high frequency circuit electromagnetically coupled via a space because without the through hole there're will be no disrupt structural integrity of material. Furthermore, PA and Tsai do not explicitly teach that the oxide

Art Unit: 2821

superconductor for said superconductive high frequency circuit or said planar antenna is at least one type of oxide high-temperature superconductor selected from the group comprised of Bi.sub.n1Sr.sub.n2Ca.sub.n3Cu.sub.n4O.sub.n5

(where, 1.8.ltoreq.n1.ltoreq.2.2, 1.8.ltoreq.n2.ltoreq.2.2,

0.9.ltoreq.n3.ltoreq.1.2, 1.8.ltoreq.n4.ltoreq.2.2, and

7.8.ltoreq.n5.ltoreq.8.4), Pb.sub.k1Bi.sub.k2Sr.sub.k3Ca.sub.k4Cu.sub.k5O-

.sub.k6 (where, 1.8.ltoreq.k1+k2.ltoreq.2.2, 0.ltoreq.k1.ltoreq.0.6,

1.8.ltoreq.k3.ltoreq.2.2, 1.8.ltoreq.k4.ltoreq.2.2, 1.8.ltoreq.k5.ltoreq.2.2,

and 9.5.ltoreq.k6.ltoreq.10.8), Y.sub.m1Ba.sub.m2Cu.sub.m3O.sub.m4 (where,

0.5.ltoreg.m1.ltoreg.1.2, 1.8.ltoreg.m.ltoreg.2.2, 2.5.ltoreg.m3.ltoreg.3.5,

and 6.6.ltoreq.m4.ltoreq.7.0), Nd.sub.p1Ba.sub.p2Cu.sub.p3O.sub.p4 (where,

0.5.ltoreq.p1.ltoreq.1.2, 1.8.ltoreq.p2.ltoreq.2.2, 2.5.ltoreq.p3.ltoreq.3.5,

and 6.6.ltoreq.p4.ltoreq.7.0), Nd.sub.q1Y.sub.q2Ba.sub.q3Cu.sub.q4O.sub.q5

(where, 0.ltoreq.q1.ltoreq.1.2, O.ltoreq.q2.ltoreq.1.2,

0.5.ltoreg.q1+q2.ltoreq.1-.2, 1.8.ltoreg.q2.ltoreg.2.2,

2.5.ltoreg.g3.ltoreg.3.5, and 6.6.ltoreg.g4.ltoreg.7.0),

Sm.sub.p1Ba.sub.p2Cu.sub.p3O.sub.p4. Choudhury teaches those oxide superconductor is well known in the art see Choudhury (col. 1, line 56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use such oxide superconductor to enhance the signal of the antenna.

As to claim 2, wherein the perpendicular distance of the electromagnetically coupled space has a length of not more than 1/4 of the effective wavelength which Prior

Art Unit: 2821

art does not explicitly disclose. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that various length of electromagnetically coupled space can be used depending upon the desired application in order to improve and strength a performance of the antenna.

As to claim 3, wherein said effective wavelength includes from a microwave to a milliwave band (Specification, page 2, lines 31-36).

As to claim 4, wherein said planar antenna and said superconductive high frequency circuit have a ¼ wavelength type feeder line, respectively as a coupling circuit thereof (Specification, page 3, lines 25-32).

As to claim 5, wherein a dielectric body is arranged between 1/4 feeder lines for coupling circuit of said planar antenna and said superconductive high frequency circuit (Specification, page 3, lines 25-32).

As to claim 6, wherein at least one type of ingredient selected from the group consisting of magnesium oxide, mullite, forsterite, titanium oxide, lanthanum aluminate, sapphire, alumina, strontium titanate, magnesium titanate, calcium titanate, quartz glass, polytetraiuoro-ethylene, polyethylene, a polyimide,polymethylmethacrylate, a glass-epoxy composite, and a glass-polgetraiuoroethylene composite is used as the ingredient of the dielectric body (Specification, page 3, lines 4-8).

As to claim 7, wherein an oxide superconductor is used as the conductor of said superconductive high frequency circuit, and said superconductive high frequency circuit has at least one type of circuit selected from the group comprised of a phase circuit,

Art Unit: 2821

filter circuit, through line, delay circuit, coupler, distribution circuit, and composite circuit (Specification, page 2, lines 7-19, and lines 19-25).

As to claim 8, wherein said planar antenna has at least one type of antenna element of the dipole type, patch type, and log-periodic type (Specification, page 2, lines 7-10).

As to claim 9, wherein an oxide superconductor is used as the conductor for said planar antenna (Specification, page 2, lines 19-25).

Regarding claims 10, and 13, the Prior art fails to specifically teach that the oxide superconductor for said superconductive high frequency circuit or said planar antenna is at least one type of oxide high-temperature superconductor selected from the group comprised of Bi.sub.n1Sr.sub.n2Ca.sub.n3Cu.sub.n4O.sub.n5 (where, 1.8.ltoreq.n1.ltoreq.2.2, 1.8.ltoreq.n2.ltoreq.2.2,

0.9.ltoreq.n3.ltoreq.1.2, 1.8.ltoreq.n4.ltoreq.2.2, and

7.8.ltoreq.n5.ltoreq.8.4), Pb.sub.k1Bi.sub.k2Sr.sub.k3Ca.sub.k4Cu.sub.k5O-sub.k6 (where, 1.8.ltoreq.k1+k2.ltoreq.2.2, 0.ltoreq.k1.ltoreq.0.6,

1.8.ltoreq.k3.ltoreq.2.2, 1.8.ltoreq.k4.ltoreq.2.2, 1.8.ltoreq.k5.ltoreq.2.2, and 9.5.ltoreq.k6.ltoreq.10.8), Y.sub.m1Ba.sub.m2Cu.sub.m3O.sub.m4 (where, 0.5.ltoreq.m1.ltoreq.1.2, 1.8.ltoreq.m.ltoreq.2.2, 2.5.ltoreq.m3.ltoreq.3.5, and 6.6.ltoreq.m4.ltoreq.7.0), Nd.sub.p1Ba.sub.p2Cu.sub.p3O.sub.p4 (where, 0.5.ltoreq.p1.ltoreq.1.2, 1.8.ltoreq.p2.ltoreq.2.2, 2.5.ltoreq.p3.ltoreq.3.5, and 6.6.ltoreq.p4.ltoreq.7.0), Nd.sub.q1Y.sub.q2Ba.sub.q3Cu.sub.q4O.sub.q5 (where, 0.ltoreq.q1.ltoreq.1.2, O.ltoreq.q2.ltoreq.1.2,

Art Unit: 2821

0.5.ltoreq.q1+q2.ltoreq.1-.2, 1.8.ltoreq.q2.ltoreq.2.2,

2.5.ltoreq.q3.ltoreq.3.5, and 6.6.ltoreq.q4.ltoreq.7.0),

Sm.sub.p1Ba.sub.p2Cu.sub.p3O.sub.p4 (where,

As to claim 11, wherein said planar antenna is a non-superconductive element which Prior art does not explicitly disclose. However, it is inherent that the planar antenna is made out with non-superconductive element for different kind of antenna system.

Claim 13 is similar in scope to claim 1; therefore, it is rejected for the same reason.

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted Prior Art (Specification, page 1-3) in view of Tsai et al. (US 2004/0100410 A1) and Choudhury (US 4,985,400), and further in view of Shen (High temperature superconducting microwave circuits).

Claim 12 adds into claim 1, wherein said superconductive high frequency circuit or said planar antenna is cooled to not more than 100K which none of the above prior art explicitly teach. However, Shen teaches the superconductive high frequency circuit or the planar antenna is cooled to not more than 100K is well known in the art (Shen, pages 104-105). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of teaching of Shen to configure Prior art's antenna system as claimed, doing so it would help to get the desired frequency needed.

Due to the new ground of rejection this action made NON-FINAL.

Art Unit: 2821

. .

Inquiries

Page 7

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Huedung Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1939.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Douglas Owens, can be reached on (571) 272-1662. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Huedung Cao Patent Examiner - Elle House